Turk J Obstet Gynecol 2017;14:170-5



Comparison of bupivacaine and ropivacaine in combination with fentanyl used for walking epidural anesthesia in labor

Yürüyen epidural anestezide fentanil ile kombine kullanılan bupivakain ve ropivakainin karşılaştırılması

Şükrü Gündüz¹, Serenat Eriş Yalçın², Gökhan Karakoç³, Mehmet Özgür Akkurt², Yakup Yalçın⁴, And Yavuz²

 1 University of Health Sciences, Kartal Dr. Lütfi Kırdar Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Anesthesiology, İstanbul, Turkey

 2 Süleyman Demirel University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Perinatology, Isparta, Turkey

³University of Health Sciences, Ankara Etlik Zübeyde Hanım Women's Health Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Perinatology, Ankara, Turkey

⁴Isparta City Hospital, Clinic of Gynecologic Oncology, Isparta, Turkey

Abstract

Objective: Effective pain relief during labor is essential to reduce maternal and perinatal morbidity arising due to pain-induced maternal sympathetic activation, and to avoid unnecessary cesarean sections performed due to maternal anxiety. Walking epidural analgesia on labor reveals lower pain scores, leading to higher maternal satisfaction with better cardiovascular and pulmonary physiology. Despite the extensive use and relative safety of bupivacaine, newer drugs such as ropivacaine have been developed as alternative agents to decrease the risk for cardiac and central nervous system toxicity.

Materials and Methods: One hundred women who requested epidural analgesia in active labor were randomly allocated into two groups; one group received 20 mL of ropivacaine 0.125% with fentanyl 50 μ g and the other received 20 mL of bupivacaine 0.125% with fentanyl 50 μ g. The efficacy of analgesia, adverse effects, and obstetric and neonatal outcomes of both groups were compared.

Results: There were no differences between the two study groups in the measured obstetric and neonatal outcomes. The onset time, duration of analgesia, and sensory levels were similar between the groups. Visual analog pain scale scores did not differ between the groups before analgesia or at any of the subsequent evaluation periods.

Conclusion: Both ropivacaine and bupivacaine provide equivalent labor analgesia with high maternal satisfaction and tolerable adverse effects in the clinically used dose range. No adverse obsettric or neonatal outcomes were observed in either group. Therefore, either drug is a reasonable choice for labor analgesia and can be used without jeopardizing the safety of the mother and fetus.

Keywords: Bupivacaine, epidural, fentanyl, labor, ropivacaine

Öz

Amaç: Doğum sırasında etkin ağrı giderimi, ağrının neden olduğu maternal sempatik aktivasyon nedeniyle ortaya çıkan maternal ve perinatal morbiditeyi ve anne kaygısı nedeniyle uygulanan gereksiz sezaryen oranlarını azaltmak için önemlidir. Doğumda yürüyen epidural analjezi uygulanması daha iyi kardiyovasküler ve pulmoner fizyoloji ile anne memnuniyetine yol açan daha düşük ağrı skorları ortaya koyar. Bupivakainin yaygın kullanımı ve görece emniyetine rağmen, ropivakain gibi yeni ilaçlar, kardiyak ve merkezi sinir sistemi toksisitesi riskini azaltmak için alternatif ajanlar olarak geliştirilmiştir.

Gereç ve Yöntemler: Åktif doğumda epidural analjezi isteyen 100 kadın randomize olarak iki gruba ayrıldı; bir gruba 20 mL ropivakain %0,125 + fentanil 50 μg ve diğer gruba 20 mL %0,125 bupivakain ile fentanil 50 μg verildi. Her iki grupta analjezi etkinliği, yan etkiler, obstetrik ve neonatal sonuçlar karşılaştırıldı.

Bulgular: Obstetrik ve neonatal sonuçlar açısından iki çalışma grubu arasında fark yoktu. Başlangıç yaşı, analjezi süreleri ve duyu seviyeleri gruplar arasında benzerdi. Görsel analog ağrı skalası skorları, analjezi öncesi veya sonraki değerlendirme periyodlarının herhangi birinde gruplar arasında fark göstermedi. **Sonuç:** Hem ropivakain hem de bupivakain, klinik olarak kullanılan doz aralığında yüksek anne memnuniyeti ve tolere edilebilen yan etkiler ile eşit analjezik etkinlik sağlamıştır. Her iki grupta istenmeyen obstetrik ve neonatal sonuç gözlenmemiştir. Bu nedenle, anne ve fetüsün güvenliğini tehlikeye atmadan, her iki ilaç da doğum analjezisi için makul bir seçenek olarak değerlendirilebilir.

Keywords: Bupivakain, epidural, fentanil, doğum, ropivakain

Address for Correspondence/Yazışma Adresi: Serenat Eriş Yalçın, MD,

Süleyman Demirel University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Perinatology, Isparta, Turkey Phone: +90 535 025 36 92 E-mail: serenateris@hotmail.com ORCID ID: orcid.org/0000-0002-6465-325X

Received/Geliş Tarihi: 05.06.2017 Accepted/Kabul Tarihi: 21.06.2017

PRECIS: Ropivacaine and bupivacaine seem to be equiopotent at clinically used concentrations and can both be reasonable choices for labor analgesia.

Introduction

Labor pain is reported to be one of the most severe pains that have ever been evaluated^(1,2). In a previous study, 41% of women considered it as the worst experience that they had ever had. Fear of labor pain seems one of the most important reasons for the tendency to cesarean section⁽²⁾. Additionally, pain-induced maternal sympathetic activation in labor compromises fetal oxygenation. Therefore, effective pain relief during labor is essential to reduce maternal and perinatal morbidity and to avoid unnecessary cesarean sections performed due to maternal anxiety⁽³⁾.

Walking epidural analgesia on labor reveals lower pain scores, leading to higher maternal satisfaction with better cardiovascular and pulmonary physiology(3). The ideal drugs to be used for labor analgesia should have a long duration of action with minimum motor blockade, limited placental transfer, and no significant adverse effects on the mother and fetus^(4,5). Bupivacaine is the most commonly used drug for this purpose. Despite the extensive use and relative safety of bupivacaine, newer drugs such as ropivacaine and levobupivacaine have been developed as alternative agents to decrease the risk for cardiac and central nervous system toxicity. Another advantage of these drugs is less motor blockade compared with bupivacaine⁽⁶⁾. The addition of opioids to these local anesthetics such as sufentanil or fentanyl is preferable due to their dose minimizing and adverse-effect-reducing properties⁽⁷⁾. The purpose of the current study was to compare the effects on obstetric and neonatal outcomes between ropivacaine and bupivacaine in combination with fentanyl used in walking epidural analgesia.

Materials and Methods

This prospective randomized controlled trial was conducted at a tertiary center during a one-year period. The study was approved by the Cerrahpaşa University Local Ethics Committee (approval number: P20/1999). Written consent for participation was obtained prior to recruitment into the study.

Women aged 18-35 years, classified as American Society of Anesthesiologists score I and II who requested epidural analgesia in active labor with cervical dilatation 3-4 cm, and uterine contractions ≥3/10 minutes between 37-41 weeks' gestational age with a singleton pregnancy in the vertex position were enrolled in this study.

Women with high risk pregnancies as defined by the obstetrician such as severe preeclampsia, insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, multiple pregnancies or with any contraindications to epidural techniques such as coagulopathies, spinal deformities, local infections, and any sensitivity to the drug were excluded. The patients were randomized 1:1 to each treatment arm, with stratification based on parity. One hundred participants

who met the above mentioned criteria were allocated into two groups. Group R received 20 mL of ropivacaine 0.125% with fentanyl 50 μ g, and group B received 20 mL of bupivacaine 0.125% with fentanyl 50 μ g.

No sedative premedication was given to the participants. After intravenous prehydration with 500 mL 0.09% NaCl solution, a 16-gauge Touhy needle was placed in the patients at the level of L3-4 or L4-5 interspaces via a midline approach under complete aseptic conditions. The loss of resistance technique was used to identify the epidural space. After monitoring any aspirate of blood or cerebrospinal fluid via the catheter, a 3 mL test dose of the study medication was administered. If there were no signs of an intravascular or intrathecal injection for the following 5 minutes, the remaining dose of the selected medication was administered. The catheter was inserted about 3-4 cm into the epidural space and securely fixed. After the insertion, patients were placed in the supine position with left uterine displacement.

Vital parameters of the mother such as heart rate, blood pressure, respiratory rate, and maternal saturation were recorded before and every 15 minutes after the injection. Onset of analgesia was evaluated as the time after injection until the first painless contraction occurred. The effectiveness of the epidural block was evaluated using a visual analog pain scale (VAS) (VAS: 0 to 10, with 0 being no pain and 10 being the worst imaginable pain). An additional dose of 5 mL of the analgesic solution was injected whenever the parturient had VAS ≥3 during labor. The sensory level was assessed using the pinprick method. Preservation of motor function was determined using the modified Bromage scale in both legs (0: no paralysis, full flexion of knees and feet, 1: inability to raise the extended leg and ability to move knees and feet; 2: inability to move knees but ability to move feet; 3: inability to flex ankle joints, complete motor blockade of lower limbs). Maternal adverse effects during the procedure such as nausea, vomiting, pruritus, bradycardia, trembling, and hypotension were recorded.

Fetal wellbeing and uterine contractions were monitored using cardiotochography. For the comparison of uterine activity, a 30-minute postinjection period was taken into account. The duration of the first and second stages of labor, and mode of delivery were recorded. Neonatal welfare was assessed using Apgar scores at 1 and 5 minutes. Maternal satisfaction about labor analgesia was determined after 24 hours on a four-point scale.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS 22.0 software (SPSS Inc., IBM, Chicago, Illinois, USA), and descriptive data are expressed as mean ± standard deviations and frequencies. The Mann-Whitney U test, Student's t-test, and chi-square test were

used for comparisons. A probability (p) value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

The enrolled 100 women were assigned to either the ropivacaine group (group R) (n=50) or the bupivacaine group (group B) (n=50). The demographic characteristics were similar between the two groups. Maternal and fetal hemodynamic data were also comparable (Table 1).

Maternal adverse effects (nausea and pruritus) were seen in both groups (group B: 20%, group R: 10%; group B: 10%, group R: 20%, respectively). Trembling was only seen in two patients of group R. There were no cases of motor blockade in either group.

The onset time, duration of analgesia, and sensory levels were similar between the groups. VAS scores did not differ between

the groups before analgesia or at any of the subsequent evaluation periods. Ten parturients in group R and 11 in group B required an additional bolus of 5 mL after 2-3 hours (Table 2).

Maternal satisfaction with labor analgesia was mostly defined as excellent in both groups and no significant difference was observed between the groups (Table 2).

Obstetric characteristics and outcomes are shown in Table 3. Four parturients in each group required cesarean section and one parturient required forceps application in group B. No significant difference was found between the groups when assessed for uterine activity.

Twenty percent of patients in group B and 28% in group R required local anesthesia for closure of the episiotomy wound. There were no differences between the two study groups in the measured neonatal outcomes (Table 3).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the patients and data of maternal and fetal hemodynamic parameters

	Ropivacaine (n=50)	Bupivacaine (n=50)	p
Age (year)	23.62±3.86	22.58±3.03	0.695
Height (cm)	161.9±4.86	162.86±4.11	0.288
Weight (kg)	64.06±6.96	63.9±5.67	0.515
Parity (n) Primiparae Multiparae	32 18	32 18	-
ASA group (n) I II	34 16	40 10	-
Maternal heart rate Before analgesia Fifteen minutes after injection Thirty minutes after injection	89.3±4.6 81.4±5.9 86.1±7.4	90.1±5.8 80.2±6.9 84.5±6.4	0.784 0.685 0.832
Maternal respiratory rate Before analgesia Fifteen minutes after injection Thirty minutes after injection	16.18±0.74 12.24±0.47 12.08±0.37	16.22±0.61 12.16±0.37 12.22±0.41	0.771 0.351 0.450
Maternal systolic blood pressure Before analgesia Fifteen minutes after injection Thirty minutes after injection	116.76±9.65 104.06±9.53 110.50±8.22	117.94±8.37 104.96±9.39 112.20±8.64	0.515 0.635 0.316
Maternal diastolic blood pressure Before analgesia Fifteen minutes after injection Thirty minutes after injection	74.40±6.03 70.24±5.98 74.47±6.11	74.28±5.80 70.18±6.07 73.36±5.77	0.919 0.960 0.912
Fetal heart rate Before analgesia Fifteen minutes after injection Thirty minutes after injection	143.02±12.59 139.22±15.68 139.86±10.32	144.68±10.37 139.62±16.19 142.02±9.88	0.474 0.900 0.288

Data are given as mean \pm standard deviations or frequencies ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists

Discussion

Epidural analgesia has become a widely-used technique for providing pain relief in labor. Nowadays, there is an increase in the number of the epidural drugs. The most recent literature focuses on new enantiomers such as ropivacaine, which have reduced risk of cardiotoxicity compared with bupivacaine⁽⁷⁾. In our comparison of these two agents in the present study, no motor blockade was observed and maternal satisfaction rates were similar with tolerable adverse effects. In addition, no obstetric or neonatal adverse effects were observed.

Some previous studies claimed that epidurals prolonged labor, and increased oxytocin requirements and instrumental and operative delivery rates^(8,9). This was explained as motor block in perineal and abdominal muscles caused by epidural local anesthetics, which may cause abnormal internal rotation of the fetal head leading to dystocia⁽⁹⁾.

In a meta-analysis, it was suggested that the type of epidural analgesia might influence spontaneous vaginal delivery rates. Analgesia combined with low-dose opioid and local anesthetic has been asserted to result in lower rates of instrumental deliveries^(10,11). Some investigators suggested that ropivacaine was associated with an increased rate of spontaneous vaginal delivery compared with bupivacaine due to a reduction in motor block⁽¹²⁾. Lv et al. ⁽⁷⁾ reported in their meta-analysis of 10 impact studies that ropivacaine was associated with less motor blockade but a higher incidence of instrumental delivery. Halpern et al. ⁽¹³⁾ showed that the rate of motor block was more frequent in the bupivacaine group but the incidence of spontaneous vaginal delivery was similar regardless of whether ropivacaine or bupivacaine were used for labor analgesia. There

are conflicting results in the literature in the comparison of these two local anesthetics regarding the mode of delivery. In the current study, the vaginal spontaneous labor rate was high and there was no significant difference between the groups in regard to operative delivery.

It is assumed that ropivacaine has a greater selectivity for sensory fibers than motor fibers due to its lower lipophilic capacity compared with bupivacaine. Accordingly, it is less likely to cause motor blockade and neurotoxicity^(4,6). There were no cases of motor blockade in either group in our study. This could be related to the use of very low and titrated concentrations of a local anesthetic through the addition of opioids. It may also account for our high spontaneous vaginal delivery rate. Higher concentrations of local anesthetic may be the reason of increased motor blockade and instrumental delivery rates in previous studies.

Lee at al.⁽¹⁴⁾ reported that bupivacaine was associated with prolongation in the first stage of labor. This may result from higher concentrations of initiated analgesia with a 0.25% solution, which triggers motor block, leading to elongation of labor. In contrast, other comparative studies using these local anesthetics in a range of 0.075-0.125% found no differences in the durations of the first or second stages of labor, similar to our results^(15,16).

Our findings regarding neonatal outcomes were comparable with the literature^(4,13-16). There were no significant differences in the indicators of neonatal wellbeing between the two groups. In a study conducted by Writer et al.⁽¹²⁾, lower neurologic and adaptive capacity scores with bupivacaine versus ropivacaine were found. We did not assess this outcome due to the conflicting results about its reliability in newborn evaluations⁽¹⁷⁾.

Table 2. Effectiveness of analgesics in both groups and pain assessment using the 0-10 visual analogue scale

	Ropivacaine (n=50)	Bupivacaine (n=50)	p
The onset time of analgesia (minute)	11.18±1.41	11.54±2.21	0.335
The duration of analgesia (minute)	123.56±19.45	130.30±19.65	0.478
Initial pain score before injection	8.30±0.67	8.12±0.62	0.171
Fifteen minutes after injection	0.42±0.92	0.20±0.80	0.209
Thirty minutes after injection	0.06±0.24	0.08±0.34	0.735
One hour after injection	0.04±0.19	0.02±0.14	0.562
Two hours after injection	0.38±0.72	0.30±0.61	0.553
Three hours after injection	4.14±1.06	3.96±0.75	0.333
Need for additional dose (%)	20	22	0.120
Maternal satisfaction of patients for labor analgesia (n) Excellent Good Unsatisfactory Terrible	40 8 2	39 8 2 1	

Data are given as mean ± standard deviations or percentages

Table 3. Obstetric characteristics and data of obstetric and neonatal outcomes

	Ropivacaine (n=50)	Bupivacaine (n=50)	p
Gestational weeks	39.42±0.60	39.60±0.90	0.195
Initial cervical dilatation (cm)	4.66±0.49	4.47±0.57	0.081
Initial cervical effacement (%)	68.70±8.31	66.50±9.16	0.212
Duration of first stage (minute)	130.31±60.60	150.93±100.55	0.227
Duration of second stage (minute)	35.20±9.00	38.22±13.10	0.192
Duration of labor (minute)	165.52±63.20	189.16±106.37	0.189
Need for oxytocin augmentation (%)	72	80	0.348
Mode of delivery (%) Normal vaginal delivery Instrumental delivery Cesarean section	92 0 8	90 2 8	0.726 0.314 0.999
Need for episiotomy (%)	56	60	0.685
The number of uterine contractions ^a	4.46±0.86	4.48±0.88	0.909
The duration of uterine contractions ^a (second)	68.40±19.72	66.10±19.25	0.557
Montevideo unit ^a	208.40±56.33	197.60±57.55	0.345
Apgar score At 1 minute At 5 minute	8.35±0.93 9.50±0.68	8.10±1.09 9.22±0.72	0.232 0.062
Abnormal arterial blood gases	2	4	0.557
Required mask ventilation	10	12	0.626
Incidence of respiratory distress	4	2	0.557
Required tracheal intubations	0	0	-
Required NICU admission	4	2	0.557

Data are given as mean ± standard deviations or percentages ^aAssessment of uterine activity in a 30-minute postinjection period

NICU: Neonatal intensive care unit

Shokry et al.⁽¹⁸⁾ compared two groups receiving 0.125% bupivacaine and 0.2% ropivacaine, each with fentanyl 100 µg and found an non-significant faster onset of action and significantly shorter duration of analgesia in the ropivacaine group. In contrast, Chora and Hussain⁽⁴⁾ showed significantly faster onset of analgesia in the bupivacaine group and longer duration in the ropivacaine group. Unlike these, the onset and duration of analgesia for both groups was comparable in current study, consistent with the research of Beilin et al.⁽¹⁹⁾. Bawdane et al.⁽²⁰⁾ recorded similar pain scores, sensory levels, and overall maternal satisfaction between the two groups, as we observed. Although ropivacaine is suggested to be less potent than bupivacaine⁽²¹⁾, they appear to be equipotent at clinically used concentrations.

Study Limitations

The limitation of the current study is its small sample size in both groups, further research should be organized with large sample groups.

Conclusion

Overall, both ropivacaine and bupivacaine can provide equivalent labor analgesia with high maternal satisfaction and tolerable adverse effects in the clinically used dose range. A combination with opioids is preferable considering their dose lowering effect. No adverse obstetric or neonatal outcomes were observed in either group in the current study. Therefore, from a clinical perspective, either drug is a reasonable choice for labor analgesia and can be used without jeopardizing the safety of the mother and fetus.

Ethics

Ethics Committee Approval: The study was approved by the Cerrahpaşa University Local Ethics Committee (approval number: P20/1999).

Informed Consent: Consent form was filled out by all participants.

Peer-review: External and internal peer-reviewed.

Authorship Contributions

Surgical and Medical Practices: Ş.G., Concept: Ş.G., G.K., Design: Ş.G., Data Collection or Processing: Ş.G., Analysis or Interpretation: Ş.G., S.E.Y., A.Y., Literature Search: M.Ö.A., Y.Y., G.K., Writing: Ş.G., S.E.Y., A.Y.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References

- Beigi NM, Broumandfar K, Bahadoran P, Abedi HA. Women's experience of pain during childbirth. Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res 2010;15:77-82.
- 2. Yerby M, Page L. Pain in Childbearing: Key Issues in Management. In: Tindall B, (ed). Sydney; 2000:17-42.
- 3. Rao ZA, Choudhri A, Naqvi S, Ehsan-Ul-Haq. Walking epidural with low dose bupivacaine plus tramadol on normal labour in primipara. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2010;20:295-8.
- 4. Chora I, Hussain A. Comparison of 0.1% Ropivacaine-Fentanyl with 0.1% Bupivacaine-Fentanyl Epidurally for Labour Analgesia. Advances in Anesthesiology 2014;2014:237034.
- Ezberci M, Zencirci B, Öksüz H, Güven MA. Effects of General and Epidural Anaesthesia in Newborn's Stres Hormones, Blood Gases, and Apgar Scores in Elective Cesarean Section. J Turk Obstet Gynecol 2005;2:284-9.
- 6. Beilin Y, Halpern S. Focused review: ropivacaine versus bupivacaine for epidural labor analgesia. Anesth Analg 2010;111:482-7.
- Lv BS, Wang W, Wang ZQ, Wang XW, Wang JH, Fang F, et al. Efficacy and safety of local anesthetics bupivacaine, ropivacaine and levobupivacaine in combination with sufentanil in epidural anesthesia for labor and delivery: a meta-analysis. Curr Med Res Opin 2014;30:2279-89.
- 8. McGrady E, Litchfield K. Epidural analgesia in labour. Contin Educ Anaesth Crit Care Pain 2004;4:114-7.
- 9. Anwar S, Anwar MW, Ahmad S. Effect of epidural analgesia on labor and its outcomes. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2015;27:146-50.
- Comparative Obstetric Mobile Epidural Trial (COMET) Study Group UK. Effect of low-dose mobile versus traditional epidural techniques on mode of delivery: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2001;358:19-23.

- 11. Guo S, Li B, Gao C, Tian Y. Epidural Analgesia With Bupivacaine and Fentanyl Versus Ropivacaine and Fentanyl for Pain Relief in Labor: A Meta-Analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e880.
- 12. Writer WD, Stienstra R, Eddleston JM, Gatt SP, Griffin R, Gutsche BB, et al. Neonatal outcome and mode of delivery after epidural analysis for labour with ropivacaine and bupivacaine: a prospective meta-analysis. Br J Anaesth 1998;81:713-7.
- 13. Halpern SH, Breen TW, Campbell DC, Muir HA, Kronberg J, Nunn R, et al. A multicenter, randomized, controlled trial comparing bupivacaine with ropivacaine for labor analgesia. Anesthesiology 2003;98:1431-5.
- Lee BB, Ngan Kee WD, Ng FF, Lau TK, Wong EL. Epidural infusions of ropivacaine and bupivacaine for labor analgesia: a randomized, double-blind study of obstetric outcome. Anesth Analg 2004;98:1145-52.
- Owen MD, Thomas JA, Smith T, Harris LC, D'Angelo R. Ropivacaine 0.075% and bupivacaine 0.075% with fentanyl 2 microg/mL are equivalent for labor epidural analgesia. Anesth Analg 2002;94:179-83.
- 16. Finegold H, Mandell G, Ramanathan S. Comparison of ropivacaine 0.1%-fentanyl and bupivacaine 0.125%-- fentanyl infusions for epidural labour analgesia. Can J Anaesth 2000;47:740-5.
- 17. Halpern SH, Littleford JA, Brockhurst NJ, Youngs PJ, Malik N, Owen HC. The neurologic and adaptive capacity score is not a reliable method of newborn evaluation. Anesthesiology 2001;94:958-62.
- 18. Shokry M, Manaa EM, Shoukry RA, Shokeir MH, Elsedfy GO, Abd El-Aziz Ael-S. Effects of intrapartum epidural analgesia at high altitudes: maternal, fetal, and neonatal outcomes. A randomized controlled trial of two formulations of analgesics. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2010;89:909-15.
- Beilin Y, Guinn NR, Bernstein HH, Zahn J, Hossain S, Bodian CA. Local anesthetics and mode of delivery: bupivacaine versus ropivacaine versus levobupivacaine. Anesth Analg 2007;105:756-63.
- 20. Bawdane KD, Magar JS, Tendolkar BA. Double blind comparison of combination of 0.1% ropivacaine and fentanyl to combination of 0.1% bupivacaine and fentanyl for extradural analgesia in labour. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol 2016;32:38-43.
- 21. Polley LS, Columb MO, Naughton NN, Wagner DS, van de Ven CJ. Relative analgesic potencies of ropivacaine and bupivacaine for epidural analgesia in labor: implications for therapeutic indexes. Anesthesiology 1999;90:944-50.